

# A Comparative Analysis of Syntax: JavaScript, PHP, Kotlin, Dart, and C

## 1. Introduction

This report provides a detailed comparative analysis of the fundamental syntax across five distinct programming languages: JavaScript, PHP, Kotlin, Dart, and C. The objective is to highlight commonalities, differences, and unique language features to aid developers in understanding their syntactic landscapes. The comparison focuses on core syntax for variable handling, control flow, object-oriented constructs, and data manipulation. The primary output is a comprehensive table, augmented by dedicated sections elaborating on unique syntactic elements and underlying design philosophies. This analysis is designed for technically proficient software developers, including polyglot programmers and those learning new languages, who require precise, actionable, and well-structured technical information.

## 2. Comparative Syntax Table

The following table presents a structured overview of core syntactic elements across JavaScript, PHP, Kotlin, Dart, and C. This format facilitates rapid comparison, allowing for immediate identification of how common programming constructs are expressed in each language. This structured presentation aids in recognizing common design patterns and significant deviations, thereby enhancing comprehension of each language's underlying design principles. Entries marked "N/A" indicate that a direct syntactic equivalent or feature is not

applicable in that language.

Feature	JavaScript	PHP	Kotlin	Dart	C
Variable Declaration (Mutable)	<code>var x = 10;</code> or <code>let x = 10;</code> <sup>1</sup>	<code>\$x = 10;</code> <sup>2</sup>	<code>var x = 10</code> <sup>4</sup>	<code>var x = 10;</code> or <code>int x = 10;</code> <sup>5</sup>	<code>int x = 10;</code> <sup>6</sup>
Variable Declaration (Immutable/Read-only)	<code>const x = 10;</code> <sup>1</sup>	N/A	<code>val x = 10</code> <sup>4</sup>	<code>final x = 10;</code> or <code>const x = 10;</code> <sup>5</sup>	N/A
Type Inference in Variable Declaration	Yes (implicitly, type determined at runtime) <sup>7</sup>	Yes (type juggling, type determined by value at runtime) <sup>8</sup>	Yes (compiler automatically deduces type) <sup>4</sup>	Yes (analyzer infers type) <sup>5</sup>	No (explicit type mandatory) <sup>6</sup>
Function Definition (Basic)	<code>function funcName(param) { /*... */ }</code> <sup>11</sup>	<code>function funcName(\$param) { /*... */ }</code> <sup>12</sup>	<code>fun funcName(param: Type): ReturnType { /*... */ }</code> <sup>13</sup>	<code>ReturnType funcName(Type param) { /*... */ }</code> <sup>14</sup>	<code>ReturnType funcName(Type param) { /*... */ }</code> <sup>15</sup>
Function Definition (Concise/Arrow)	<code>(param) =&gt; expression</code> <sup>10</sup>	<code>fn (\$param) =&gt; expression</code> <sup>16</sup>	<code>fun funcName(param: Type) = expression</code> <sup>13</sup>	<code>(param) =&gt; expression</code> or <code>funcName(param) =&gt; expression;</code> <sup>10</sup>	N/A
Function Invocation	<code>funcName(arg);</code> <sup>11</sup>	<code>funcName(\$arg);</code> <sup>12</sup>	<code>funcName(arg)</code> <sup>13</sup>	<code>funcName(arg);</code> <sup>14</sup>	<code>funcName(arg);</code> <sup>15</sup>

Conditional: if...else	if (c) { /*... */ } else if (c) { /*... */ } else { /*... */ } <sup>18</sup>	if (c) { /*... */ } elseif (c) { /*... */ } else { /*... */ } <sup>19</sup>	if (c) { /*... */ } else if (c) { /*... */ } else { /*... */ } <sup>20</sup>	if (c) { /*... */ } else if (c) { /*... */ } else { /*... */ } <sup>21</sup>	if (c) { /*... */ } else if (c) { /*... */ } else { /*... */ } <sup>22</sup>
Conditional: switch/when	switch (e) { case v: /*... */ break; default: /*... */ } <sup>18</sup>	switch (e) { case v: /*... */ break; default: /*... */ } <sup>19</sup>	when (e) { v -> /*... */ else -> /*... */ } <sup>20</sup>	switch (e) { case p: /*... */ default: /*... */ } <sup>21</sup>	switch (e) { case v: /*... */ break; default: /*... */ } <sup>22</sup>
Loop: for	for (init; cond; after) { /*... */ } <sup>23</sup>	for (init; cond; incr) { /*... */ } <sup>24</sup>	for (item in collection) { /*... */ } <sup>25</sup>	for (var i = 0; i < 5; i++) { /*... */ } <sup>26</sup>	for (initialization; condition; reinitialization) { /*... */ } <sup>27</sup>
Loop: while	while (condition) { /*... */ } <sup>23</sup>	while (condition) { /*... */ } <sup>24</sup>	while (condition) { /*... */ } <sup>28</sup>	while (condition) { /*... */ } <sup>26</sup>	while (condition) { /*... */ } <sup>27</sup>
Loop: do...while	do { /*... */ } while (condition); <sup>23</sup>	do { /*... */ } while (condition); <sup>24</sup>	do { /*... */ } while (condition) <sup>28</sup>	do { /*... */ } while (condition); <sup>26</sup>	do { /*... */ } while (condition); <sup>27</sup>
Loop: Collection Iteration	for (const item of iterable) { /*... */ } <sup>23</sup>	foreach (\$array as \$value) <sup>24</sup>	for (item in collection) <sup>25</sup>	for (var item in collection) { /*... */ } <sup>26</sup>	N/A
Class Definition	class MyClass { constructo r() { } method() { } } <sup>30</sup>	class MyClass { public \$prop; function method() { } } <sup>31</sup>	class MyClass(val prop: Type) { fun method() { } } <sup>32</sup>	class MyClass { Type prop; MyClass(this .prop); method() { } } <sup>33</sup>	N/A (Uses struct)

Object Instantiation	<code>const obj = new MyClass();</code> <sup>30</sup>	<code>\$obj = new MyClass();</code> <sup>31</sup>	<code>val obj = MyClass()</code> <sup>32</sup>	<code>var obj = MyClass();</code> <sup>33</sup>	<code>struct MyStruct myVar;</code> <sup>34</sup>
Single-line Comment	<code>// This is a comment</code> <sup>35</sup>	<code>// comment or # comment</code> <sup>36</sup>	<code>// This is a comment</code> <sup>25</sup>	<code>// This is a comment</code> <sup>10</sup>	<code>// This is a comment</code> <sup>6</sup>
Multi-line Comment	<code>/* multi-line comment */</code> <sup>35</sup>	<code>/* multi-line comment */</code> <sup>36</sup>	<code>/* multi-line comment */</code> <sup>25</sup>	<code>/* multi-line comment */</code> <sup>37</sup>	<code>/* multi-line comment */</code> <sup>6</sup>
Explicit Type Conversion (Casting)	<code>Number(s)</code> <sup>38</sup>	<code>(int)\$var</code> <sup>8</sup>	<code>obj as? String</code> <sup>39</sup>	<code>object as String</code> <sup>40</sup>	<code>(int)value</code> <sup>41</sup>
String Concatenation	<code>str1 + str2</code> <sup>42</sup>	<code>\$str1. \$str2</code> <sup>44</sup>	<code>str1 + str2</code> <sup>25</sup>	<code>str1 + str2</code> <sup>26</sup>	<code>strcat(dest, src)</code> <sup>46</sup>
String Interpolation	<code>`Hello, \${user}!`</code> <sup>42</sup>	<code>"Hello, \$user!" or "Hello, \${user}!"</code> <sup>45</sup>	<code>"\$name has \${children.size} children"</code> <sup>25</sup>	<code>'\$i, j = \$j'</code> <sup>26</sup>	N/A
<b>Unique Feature: Nullish Coalescing/ Optional Chaining</b>	<code>val?? 'default' obj?.prop</code> <sup>47</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Unique Feature: Variable Variables</b>	N/A	<code>\$\$var</code> <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A

<b>Unique Feature: Sound Null Safety</b>	N/A	N/A	String? (nullable type) ?. (safe call) ?: (Elvis) <sup>39</sup>	int? (nullable type) late (delayed init) ! (assertion) <sup>5</sup>	N/A
<b>Unique Feature: Extension Functions</b>	N/A	N/A	fun String.reverse(): String <sup>39</sup>	N/A	N/A
<b>Unique Feature: Factory Constructors</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	factory Logger(...)	N/A
<b>Unique Feature: Pointers &amp; Manual Memory Mgmt.</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	int *ptr; malloc(...) <sup>6</sup>
<b>Unique Feature: Preprocessor Macros</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	#define MAX(x,y) <sup>27</sup>
<b>Unique Feature: goto statement</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	goto label; <sup>27</sup>
<b>Unique Feature: if/when as</b>	N/A	N/A	val max = if (a > b) a else b <sup>20</sup>	N/A	N/A

Expressions					
Unique Feature: Pattern Matching (Dart 3.0+)	N/A	N/A	N/A	if (pair case [int x, int y]) switch (e) { case p =>... } <sup>21</sup>	N/A
Unique Feature: Mixin-Based Inheritance	N/A	N/A	N/A	class A with B {} <sup>33</sup>	N/A
Unique Feature: for loop closure capture (correct)	N/A	N/A	N/A	for (var i = 0; i < 2; i++) { callbacks.add(() => print(i)); } (prints 0, 1) <sup>26</sup>	N/A

### 3. In-depth Analysis of Unique Syntax Features

This section provides a detailed examination of the unique syntactic features identified in the comparative table, offering context, examples, and a discussion of their implications for language design and development practices.

#### 3.1. JavaScript's Flexible and Evolving Syntax

JavaScript, a language deeply intertwined with web development, exhibits

syntactic features that reflect its dynamic nature and continuous evolution.

The introduction of the **Nullish Coalescing Operator (??)** and **Optional Chaining (?.)** represents a significant advancement in JavaScript's approach to handling null and undefined values.<sup>47</sup> The

?? operator provides a concise way to assign a default value only when the left-hand operand is strictly null or undefined, unlike the logical OR (| |) operator, which would trigger for any "falsy" value (e.g., 0, empty string "", false).<sup>47</sup> This precision is crucial in scenarios where

0 or "" are valid data points and should not be replaced by a default. For instance, `const valB = emptyText ?? "default for B";` would result in "", whereas `const valB = emptyText |`

`| "default for B";` would yield "default for B".<sup>47</sup> Concurrently, the ?. operator enables safe access to properties or methods of objects that might be null or undefined within a chain, preventing runtime errors by simply returning undefined if any part of the chain is null or undefined.[48] This design choice directly addresses a common source of runtime exceptions, such as "Cannot read property 'x' of undefined," allowing developers to write more robust code without extensive if checks. These features underscore JavaScript's ongoing commitment to enhancing code readability and reliability, particularly in complex data structures, by providing more semantic precision in null handling.

Another distinctive aspect of JavaScript is **Hoisting**, particularly for var declarations and function declarations.<sup>11</sup> This mechanism conceptually moves these declarations to the top of their enclosing scope during the compilation phase, allowing them to be used before their physical appearance in the code.<sup>11</sup> For example, a function declared with

function can be invoked successfully before its definition in the script.<sup>11</sup> However, this behavior can lead to unexpected outcomes for developers accustomed to stricter lexical scoping rules found in other languages. Recognizing these potential pitfalls, later versions of JavaScript introduced

let and const keywords for variable declarations.<sup>1</sup> Variables declared with

let and const are block-scoped and reside in a "temporal dead zone" until their declaration is encountered during execution, thereby preventing their use before definition and mitigating some of the ambiguities associated with var's hoisting behavior.<sup>1</sup> This evolution reflects a deliberate effort to introduce more predictable and safer variable scoping practices, aligning JavaScript more closely with modern language design principles while maintaining backward compatibility.

### 3.2. PHP's Dynamic and Web-Centric Features

PHP, primarily designed for web development, incorporates features that emphasize dynamic behavior and flexibility.

A unique and powerful feature in PHP is **Variable Variables (\$\$var)**.<sup>2</sup> This syntax allows the value of one variable to be used as the name of another variable. For instance, if

`$a = 'hello';` and `$$a = 'world';`, then `$$a` effectively refers to a variable named `$hello`, which holds the value `'world'`.<sup>2</sup> This capability enables highly dynamic code generation and manipulation of variable names at runtime, proving particularly useful in scenarios such as templating engines or processing dynamic form inputs where variable names might be constructed programmatically. While offering significant flexibility, the use of variable variables can sometimes reduce code readability and complicate static analysis and debugging, as the exact variable being accessed is not immediately apparent from the code itself. This design choice underscores PHP's inclination towards runtime adaptability over strict compile-time predictability.

PHP's approach to data types is characterized by **Type Juggling, or Automatic Type Conversion**.<sup>8</sup> In PHP, variables do not require explicit type definition; their type is dynamically determined by the value they currently hold, allowing a variable's type to change throughout its lifecycle.<sup>8</sup> PHP automatically performs type conversions in various contexts, including numeric operations, string

concatenation, logical evaluations, and comparisons.<sup>8</sup> For example,

`echo TRUE;` will print 1, while `echo FALSE;` will print nothing.<sup>8</sup> While this implicit type handling can streamline development by reducing the need for explicit casting, it also introduces a risk of unexpected behavior or subtle bugs if the intricacies of PHP's conversion rules are not fully understood, particularly in loose comparison operations. This design reflects PHP's historical emphasis on rapid development, where strict type enforcement might have been perceived as an impediment.

The introduction of **Arrow Functions (fn (args) => expr)** in PHP 7.4 marked an important step in embracing modern functional programming patterns.<sup>16</sup> These functions provide a more concise syntax for anonymous functions, particularly for simple, single-expression bodies. A key distinction is their automatic capture of variables from the parent scope

*by value*, eliminating the need for the explicit `use` keyword that is mandatory for traditional anonymous functions.<sup>16</sup> For example,

`fn($x) => $x + $y;` automatically captures `$y` from the outer scope.<sup>16</sup> While arrow functions are limited to a single expression, this feature significantly improves code readability and conciseness for common callback patterns. This addition demonstrates PHP's ongoing evolution to integrate contemporary language features, enhancing developer experience while maintaining its foundational characteristics.

### 3.3. Kotlin's Emphasis on Safety and Conciseness

Kotlin, a modern, statically typed language, places a strong emphasis on compile-time safety and code conciseness, particularly evident in its handling of nullability and functional constructs.

A cornerstone of Kotlin's design is its **Null Safety integrated into the Type System**.<sup>39</sup> Unlike many languages where

null can lead to runtime exceptions, Kotlin's type system explicitly differentiates between types that can hold null (nullable types, denoted with a `?`, e.g., `String?`) and those that cannot (non-nullable types, e.g., `String`).<sup>49</sup> This fundamental design choice aims to eliminate Null Pointer Exceptions (NPEs) by enforcing null checks at compile time. To safely interact with nullable types, Kotlin provides several concise operators: the safe call operator (

`?.`) returns null if the receiver is null instead of throwing an exception (e.g., `nullableVar?.length`), the Elvis operator (`?:`) provides a default value if the expression on its left is null (e.g., `nullableVar?: defaultValue`), and the non-null asserted call (`!!`) explicitly converts a nullable type to its non-nullable counterpart, throwing an NPE at runtime if the value is indeed null.<sup>39</sup> This proactive approach to error prevention, embedded directly into the language's type system, significantly enhances code robustness and predictability.

Kotlin also introduces **Extension Functions and Properties**, allowing developers to add new functions or properties to existing classes without modifying their source code.<sup>39</sup> This is achieved using a syntax like

```
fun String.reverse(): String { return this.reversed() },
```

which adds a `reverse()` function directly callable on any `String` instance.<sup>39</sup> This feature promotes code reusability and readability by enabling utility functions to be invoked as if they were intrinsic members of the class, thereby improving the expressiveness of APIs without the need for traditional inheritance or wrapper classes. It facilitates the creation of more domain-specific and intuitive APIs, enhancing code organization and reducing boilerplate.

Furthermore, Kotlin treats **if and when as Expressions**, meaning they return a value.<sup>20</sup> This design choice eliminates the need for a ternary operator (e.g.,

`condition? then : else`) because a standard if statement can directly fulfill this role, such as `val max = if (a > b) a else b`.<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the

`when` construct, which is a powerful replacement for switch statements, also returns a value, allowing its result to be assigned or returned directly.<sup>20</sup> This integration of control flow constructs into expression contexts simplifies conditional logic, leading to more compact, readable, and functional code,

particularly when assigning values based on conditions.

### 3.4. Dart's Soundness and Modern Features

Dart is designed with a strong emphasis on productivity, predictable performance, and robust type safety, particularly through its sound null safety.

Dart's **Sound Null Safety** provides a strong guarantee: if an expression has a static type that does not permit null, it will *never* evaluate to null at runtime.<sup>10</sup> This level of soundness is achieved through a combination of static type checking at compile time and minimal runtime checks, ensuring that all possible null reference errors are caught statically if the code is fully null-safe.<sup>52</sup> To support this, Dart introduces nullable types (e.g.,

int?), the late keyword for variables initialized after declaration, and the ! operator for asserting non-nullability.<sup>5</sup> This comprehensive approach significantly enhances the reliability of Dart applications by preventing a common class of runtime errors, leading to more stable and performant software.

**Factory Constructors** in Dart offer a flexible mechanism for object creation that goes beyond simple instantiation. Unlike generative constructors, which always return a new instance of the class, factory constructors (declared with the factory keyword) can return an existing instance (e.g., from a cache) or even an instance of a subtype. This capability enables powerful design patterns such as singletons, object pooling, or returning different concrete types based on input parameters, enhancing resource management and architectural flexibility. For instance, a Logger class might use a factory constructor to return a cached instance if a logger with the same name already exists, avoiding redundant object creation.

Dart also supports **Mixin-Based Inheritance**.<sup>33</sup> While each class in Dart has a single superclass (excluding

Object?), its body can be reused across multiple class hierarchies through mixins, using the with keyword. This approach provides a flexible alternative to

traditional multiple inheritance, allowing for horizontal code reuse and addressing challenges like the "diamond problem" by composing behaviors from different sources. Mixins promote modularity and enable a richer composition of class functionalities without the complexities often associated with strict class hierarchies.

A notable distinction in Dart's control flow, particularly when compared to JavaScript, is its **for loop closure capture behavior**.<sup>26</sup> In Dart, closures defined within a

for loop correctly capture the *value* of the loop index for each iteration. This directly addresses and avoids a common pitfall in JavaScript, where closures within var-based for loops would capture the *final* value of the loop variable after the loop had completed.<sup>1</sup> Dart's design choice here makes its

for loops more intuitive and less prone to subtle closure-related bugs, enhancing predictability and reducing debugging effort for developers, especially those migrating from JavaScript.

Furthermore, Dart 3.0 introduced powerful **Pattern Matching** features, significantly enhancing its control flow and data extraction capabilities.<sup>21</sup> This includes

if-case statements, switch expressions, and various pattern types such as logical-or, relational, and destructuring patterns.<sup>21</sup> These features allow for more concise and expressive code when dealing with complex conditional logic and data structures. For example, a

switch expression can concisely map a value to a result based on sophisticated pattern matching, including type checks and value ranges.<sup>21</sup> This advancement elevates Dart's expressiveness, enabling more declarative and robust handling of data, aligning with modern language trends in functional programming and algebraic data types.

### 3.5. C's Low-Level Control and Performance Focus

C, as a foundational systems programming language, is characterized by its close-to-hardware control and emphasis on performance, which are reflected in its low-level syntactic features.

C provides **Pointers and Manual Memory Management**, which are central to its design.<sup>6</sup> Developers have direct access to memory locations through pointers (

\* for dereferencing, & for address-of operator) and are responsible for explicit memory allocation (malloc()) and deallocation (free()).<sup>6</sup> This low-level control is a defining characteristic of C, enabling highly optimized and performant code, particularly for operating systems, embedded systems, and performance-critical applications. However, this power comes with a significant responsibility for memory safety, as improper handling can lead to critical issues such as buffer overflows (e.g., with

strcat() if the destination buffer is too small<sup>46</sup>), memory leaks, and segmentation faults. This fundamental design choice prioritizes raw control and performance, placing the burden of memory safety squarely on the programmer.

Another distinctive feature of C is its **Preprocessor Macros (#define)**.<sup>27</sup> The C preprocessor performs textual substitutions on the source code before it is passed to the compiler.<sup>27</sup> Macros can be used to define symbolic constants (e.g.,

#define PI 3.14), create simple "inline" functions (e.g., #define MAX(x,y) ((x) > (y)? (x) : (y))), or enable conditional compilation (#ifdef, #ifndef).<sup>51</sup> While macros offer a form of compile-time metaprogramming, allowing for flexible code generation and optimization, their textual substitution nature can lead to unexpected behavior, debugging challenges, and a lack of type safety compared to true functions or templates.

C utilizes **structs for Custom Data Types**.<sup>27</sup> A

struct is a collection of variables, potentially of different data types, grouped under a single name.<sup>34</sup> For example,

struct Person { char name; int age; }; defines a structure to hold personal data.

Unlike classes in object-oriented languages, structs in C primarily define data layouts and do not inherently include methods or support inheritance in the object-oriented sense.<sup>27</sup> This simplicity reflects C's procedural paradigm, where behavior (functions) is typically separated from data (

structs). Structs are fundamental to C's data modeling, enabling the creation of complex data structures and efficient memory layouts.

Finally, C includes the **goto statement**.<sup>27</sup> This statement allows for an unconditional jump to a labeled statement within the same function. While

goto offers direct control flow, it is generally discouraged in modern programming practices due to its potential to create "spaghetti code" that is difficult to read, debug, and maintain. Its presence highlights C's origins in older programming paradigms and its focus on providing low-level control, even at the expense of structured programming principles that are emphasized by contemporary languages.

#### 4. Conclusion

The comparative analysis of JavaScript, PHP, Kotlin, Dart, and C reveals a wide spectrum of syntactic approaches, each deeply rooted in distinct design philosophies and tailored for specific use cases. C, as a foundational systems programming language, consistently prioritizes low-level control and raw performance. This is evident in its direct memory manipulation via pointers, compile-time textual macros, and the explicit goto statement, which, while powerful, place significant responsibility on the developer for memory safety and code structure.

In contrast, JavaScript and PHP, born from the demands of web development, lean towards flexibility and rapid iteration. Their dynamic typing and implicit type conversions (type juggling in PHP) offer development speed but can introduce runtime ambiguities. PHP's variable variables further exemplify its dynamic nature, while JavaScript's historical quirks like hoisting highlight its

evolutionary path.

Kotlin and Dart represent a modern synthesis in language design, striving for a robust balance of safety, conciseness, and developer productivity. Their strong, sound type systems, particularly their integrated null safety features, proactively address common runtime errors, a significant advancement over the implicit handling in older languages. The adoption of expression-oriented programming (e.g., Kotlin's if/when as expressions, Dart's switch expressions) and advanced control flow mechanisms like pattern matching in Dart contribute to more declarative and readable code. Furthermore, features like Kotlin's extension functions and Dart's mixin-based inheritance offer sophisticated mechanisms for code reuse and modularity.

The observed trends across these languages underscore a collective movement in language design towards enhancing code reliability, improving developer experience, and supporting more sophisticated programming paradigms. The evolution from C's manual memory management to the automatic memory management and integrated null safety of modern languages is a clear progression towards safer and more predictable software development. Similarly, the shift from basic string concatenation to powerful string interpolation, and from imperative loops to functional collection operations, reflects a growing emphasis on code readability and conciseness.

For polyglot developers, understanding these syntactic differences and their underlying design choices is paramount. It informs strategic decisions on language selection for specific projects, facilitates smoother transitions between diverse programming environments, and deepens appreciation for the varied approaches to solving complex computational problems. Ultimately, the choice of programming language often involves a nuanced trade-off between performance, safety, development velocity, and expressive power, all of which are intrinsically manifested in the language's core syntax.

## **Works cited**

1. Documentation - Variable Declaration - TypeScript, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.typescriptlang.org/docs/handbook/variable-declarations.html>
2. Variable variables - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,

- <https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.variables.variable.php>
3. Basics - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.variables.basics.php>
  4. Basic syntax | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://kotlinlang.org/docs/basic-syntax.html#variables>
  5. Variables | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/variables>
  6. C Language CheatSheet | Blog - CodeWithHarry, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.codewithharry.com/blogpost/c-cheatsheet>
  7. JavaScript data types and data structures - MDN Web Docs - Mozilla,  
accessed July 29, 2025,  
[https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Data\\_structures](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Data_structures)
  8. Type Juggling - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.types.type-juggling.php>
  9. Kotlin Type inference, Type check, Smartcast | by App Dev Insights -  
Medium, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://medium.com/@appdevinsights/kotlin-type-inference-type-check-smartcast-49c5f98fac1b>
  10. Introduction to Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language>
  11. Functions - JavaScript | MDN, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Functions>
  12. Functions - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.functions.php>
  13. Functions | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://kotlinlang.org/docs/functions.html>
  14. Functions | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/functions>
  15. C Functions, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.programiz.com/c-programming/c-functions>
  16. Arrow Functions - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/functions.arrow.php>
  17. PHP Arrow Functions - GeeksforGeeks, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/php/php-arrow-functions/>
  18. if...else - JavaScript | MDN, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/if...else>
  19. if - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/control-structures.if.php>
  20. Conditions and loops | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://kotlinlang.org/docs/control-flow.html#if-expression>

21. Branches | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/branches>
22. C if...else Statement, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.programiz.com/c-programming/c-if-else-statement>
23. Loops and iteration - JavaScript | MDN, accessed July 29, 2025, [https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Loops\\_and\\_iteration](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Loops_and_iteration)
24. accessed December 31, 1969, <https://www.php.net/manual/en/control-structures.loops.php>
25. Coding conventions | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://kotlinlang.org/docs/coding-conventions.html>
26. Loops | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/loops>
27. C (programming language) - Wikipedia, accessed July 29, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C\\_\(programming\\_language\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C_(programming_language))
28. Conditions and loops | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://kotlinlang.org/docs/control-flow.html#loops>
29. Collections overview | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://kotlinlang.org/docs/collections-overview.html>
30. Classes - JavaScript | MDN, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Classes>
31. The Basics - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.oop5.basic.php>
32. Classes | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://kotlinlang.org/docs/classes.html>
33. Classes | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/classes>
34. C struct (Structures), accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.programiz.com/c-programming/c-structures>
35. Lexical grammar - JavaScript | MDN, accessed July 29, 2025, [https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Lexical\\_grammar#Comments](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Lexical_grammar#Comments)
36. Comments - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.basic-syntax.comments.php>
37. Comments | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://dart.dev/language/comments>
38. Type Conversion and Type Coercion in JavaScript - GeeksforGeeks, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/javascript/type-conversion-and-type-coercion-in-javascript/>
39. Essential Kotlin. 43 features every developer should... | by Bubu Tripathy - Medium, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://medium.com/@bubu.tripathy/essential-kotlin-d35d11935b6d>

40. The Dart type system | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://dart.dev/language/type-system>
41. C Type Conversion (With Examples), accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.programiz.com/c-programming/type-conversion>
42. JavaScript String Interpolation - Flexiple, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://flexiple.com/javascript/javascript-string-interpolation>
43. Simplify Concatenation with JavaScript String Interpolation - TechAffinity, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://techaffinity.com/blog/javascript-string-interpolation/>
44. How do I concatenate strings in PHP? - ReqBin, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://reqbin.com/code/php/f8wnvcuw/php-string-concatenation-example>
45. Strings - Manual - PHP, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.php.net/manual/en/language.types.string.php>
46. C strcat() - C Standard Library, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.programiz.com/c-programming/library-function/string.h/strcat>
47. Nullish coalescing operator (??) - JavaScript - MDN Web Docs, accessed July 29, 2025,  
[https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Operators/Nullish\\_coalescing](https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Operators/Nullish_coalescing)
48. Advanced JavaScript: Use of Nullish Coalescing ?? and Optional Chaining and ?. for Efficient Coding | by Gabriela Iriart | Medium, accessed July 29, 2025, <https://medium.com/@gabrielairiart.gi/advanced-javascript-use-of-nullish-coalescing-and-optional-chaining-and-for-efficient-coding-7d1d3fe3eedf>
49. Null safety | Kotlin Documentation, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://kotlinlang.org/docs/null-safety.html>
50. Dart Factory Constructors - Dart Tutorial, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://www.darttutorial.org/dart-tutorial/dart-factory-constructors/>
51. Why use Macros in C? [duplicate] - Stack Overflow, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/1358232/why-use-macros-in-c>
52. Understanding null safety | Dart, accessed July 29, 2025,  
<https://dart.dev/null-safety/understanding-null-safety>